

CSI: Haskell

- Fault-Localization in Lazy Languages using Runtime Tracing

Questions?

@tritlo

then i:(go (i+1))

else go (i+1)

 $d i = n \mod i == 0$

Problem and Background

- Data in **errors** is often *recently* evaluated.
- divs 13 results in the empty list [].
- head on the empty list raises an error:

```
divs: Prelude.head: empty list
CallStack (from HasCallStack):
```

```
error, called at libraries/.../List.hs:1643:3 in base:GHC.List
errorEmptyList, called at libraries/.../List.hs:82:11 in base:GHC.List
```

```
head, called at Div.hs:10:17 in main:Main
```

badHead, called at libraries/.../List.hs:78:28 in base:GHC.List

However, the fault originates in the

PRODUCER

but the error mentions only the

CONSUMER

Approach and Contributions

- 1. Extend Haskell Program Coverage (HPC) [1] in GHC and track recently evaluated expressions.
- 2. Summarize traces for readability.
- 3. **Add** trace summary to error messages.

Now the faulty producer is mentioned as well!

- This **improves** fault-localization heuristics in automatic program repair tools like PropR [2].
- Unlike HAT [3], no program transformation beyond HPC is needed, allowing easy integration into error messages, debuggers and IDEs.

```
10 smallestDiv n = head (divs n)
12 main :: IO ()
13 main = print (smallestDiv 13)
```

where go i | i == n = []

go i = if d i

Artifact available! https://l.mpg.is/ghc-csi

1 module Main where 2 divs :: Int -> [Int]

3 divs n = go 2



```
Recently evaluated locations (from HPC):
Div.hs:4:25-4:26 alternative branch taken
Div.hs:4:16-4:21 guarded branch taken
repeats (11 times):
 Div.hs:4:9-7:28 Main:divs>go
 Div.hs:7:21-7:28 alternative branch taken
 Div.hs:5:19-5:21 else branch taken
 Div.hs:8:9-8:28 Main:divs>d
 Div.hs:5:16-7:28 alternative branch taken
 Div.hs:4:16-4:21 guarded branch not taken
Div.hs:4:9-7:28 Main:divs>go
Div.hs:3:1-8:28 Main:divs
Div.hs:10:1-10:29 Main:smallestDiv
Div.hs:13:1-13:29 Main:main
```

References

[1] Andy Gill and Colin Runciman. 2007. Haskell Program Coverage. In Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Haskell Workshop (Freiburg, Germany) (Haskell '07). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1-12. [2] Matthías Páll Gissurarson, Leonhard Applis, Annibale Panichella, Arie van Deursen, and David Sands. 2022. PropR: Property-Based Automatic Program Repair. In The 44th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE). IEEE/ACM. [3] Olaf Chitil, Colin Runciman, and Malcolm Wallace. 2002. Transforming Haskell for tracing. In Symposium on Implementation and Application of Functional Languages. Springer, 165-181.